Community Review NCEP Assessment and Recommendations – (Last modified 08JAN2014/WRO)

Office of the Director (OD)

Over-Arching Actions			
Assessment Recommendation	Planned Action	Status	Due Date
(1) Leadership - Serious lack of cooperation between the Directors of EMC and NCO. Recommendation - NCEP Director must solve this problem in the near future.	1.1 - Clearly define roles and responsibilities for each Director. Create collaboration matrix and identify final authority for items of overlapping concern.	1) EMC/NCO leadership meets at least weekly to ensure cooperative approach to all issues and jointly charter specific projects (i.e. implementation plan) 2) Reinvigorated the High Performance Computing Resource allocation Council 3) A signed Data Assimilation plan in place involving NASA/GSFC, NOAA/ESRL, OU and EMC. Setting the agenda for ongoing development of real-time testing of "hybrid" (ENKF, 3-D and 4-D). 4) Implemented quarterly newsletter (Q2FY11) to ensure information on activities occurring at NCEP are widely distributed 5) EMC Director serving as chair of NOAA high performance computing allocation board	Action initiated, on track with ongoing efforts COMPLETED
	1.2 - Develop corporate board which meets periodically, either in person or virtually, to allow directors to vet their differences where input may be gained from other members and final authority rests with the director when parties are not able to reach consensus.	EMC/NCO Directors meet periodically on issue specific topics with individual center directors; Corporate board consisting of all center directors is in place and meets in person or virtually at least monthly; Will evaluate progress and effectiveness EOY.	Action initiated, on track with ongoing efforts COMPLETED
(2) External Advice - NCEP needs external advice on both scientific aspects of its mission and the further development of its products. Recommendation - NCEP should request from NOAA Headquarters that a science and services advisory board, linked to the testbeds, be established under the auspices of the NOAA Science Advisory Board.	2.1 - Discuss with NOAA HQ prior to developing subsequent actions	We've worked with HQ and the UCAR review committee to develop a path forward and will be executing on this concept of having UCAR to continue the NCEP review process through an advisory committee which will meet with the centers during the annual offsite strategic planning meeting. NCEP will expect the advisory committee to provide guidance following that meeting on an annual basis (timing to be worked out) and also review progress being made in report to recommendation being made. UCACN developed and resourced. This group of rotating membership will be our mechanism to consult periodically at the Oct strategic planning meeting. Received first UCACN report and their recommendation are being incorporated in to current tracking process.	COMPLETED
(3) Administrative Workload - There is a very large workload associated with the Office of the Director which overstretch	3.1 - Seek approval through the NWS and NOAA to acquire a new Deputy Director at the SES level.	The Deputy position is unlikely to be established in the short term due to fiscal constraints and developing movement to reduce the number of federal employees. We continue to	Periodic dialo with leadership, a

the capabilities of one person to fulfill them.		explore opportunities to reprogram or acquire additional FTEs for this and the Operations Officer positions.	risk 1-5 yr
Recommendation – NCEP requires a Deputy Director who can handle the day to day operations of NCEP as well as many other internally-directed duties, freeing up the Director to think more strategically and forge new collaborations and partnerships within NOAA, the federal government, the US academic community, the private sector and abroad.	3.2 – Create position description and performance plan for Deputy Director position OD. Submit along with SF-52 to WFM. Develop selection criteria matrix, review the certification, and develop hiring committee to conduct interviews of qualified candidates.	Will develop the position requirements upon approval from NWS and NOAA to create a position. See POC 1 and 2. Need to create this PP!!!! (even prior to approval from HQ)	1-5 yr
(4) Computing Capability - NCEP computing resources are not commensurate with the scope of the mission. The CPU, disk storage, and longterm archival systems are each at least an order of magnitude under-powered relative to the requirement. Recommendation - NCEP requires a significant increase in its computing capability, with at least an order of magnitude increase in capability over the next five years.	4.1 – NCEP to engage with NOAA OCIO on the planning and procurement of next generation High Performance Computing systems.	Our evolving role as an enabler for our NOAA and other partners further complicates the planning part of the equation as does a growing appetite for storage (disk). To mitigate this ever increasing demand NCEP leases HPCC resources and establishes strict upgrade requirements on a timely basis. Unfortunately, there are competing interests for the resource which it takes to upgrade and maintain these very costly systems and this recent upgrade has been faced with delays which will challenge NCEP in the shorter term. We're currently addressing these challenges: 1) Internal procurements to advance storage and the system currently under hat as much as possible 2) Seeking cycles on other HPCC systems including (T-Jet in CO, CRACKEN and JAGUAR/DOE/Oak Ridge, Universities, and GFDL/GAEA/Oak Ridge, NASA) NCEP has been successful at forecasting computing challenges and timing and presenting these to leadership. The current situation whereby there was conscious decision to delay beyond original plan the implementation of an upgraded CCS for NCEP presents challenges and NCEP has responded with mitigating measures. - Bridge contract implemented; preserving current computing capacity for the gap period until WCOSS is installed - WCOSS contract developed and near award - Development work currently being ported to external systems where resources are available (best prospects at GAEA/GFDL/Site A, JIBB/NASA/GSFC, TJET. - Progress in porting code for continued development has been good and this strategy will be used to mitigate the capacity constraints of the operational CCS in the procurement process.	WCOSS Operational as of 07/13 Phase II underway (delivery 9/14)

		02JUL12 – WCOSS contract awarded to IBM who is currently in the process of installing the next generation system. This system will be an Idataplex system which is scalable. Operational facility in Reston, VA and backup in Orlando, FL. Test system named "Current" is up a running and active porting is and testing is occurring. We continue to engage with NWS and NOAA OCIO to obtain a system which is scoped appropriately to handle the required portfolio. In the meantime, much of the development work is proceeding at a satisfactory pace despite HPCC shortfalls and EMC is managing this through use of research computing systems external to NCEP management. EMC Director is current chair of all NOAA computing systems resource allocation board.	
		07JAN2014 – WCOSS became operational last July, 2013. CCS now shut down. Phase II underway with fix to I/O issue and shared storage. scheduled for completion	
(5) World-class Model Development - There is sentiment in the community that EMC is not equipped to fulfill its mission or realize its vision. Recommendation - The EMC mission should be carefully evaluated and either reduced in scope to align with the resources or the resources should be increased to align with the broad mission. NCEP and NWS leadership are urged to follow a path in which the EMC scientists are involved in the development with a team of partners from the beginning.	5.1 - Work through OS&T to address modeling and observation branch - Establish resource base - Address mission and execution - Work with the joint operational community (NOAA/DOD plan working through NWS HQ, MOBI) Address entire modeling effort and work into EMP - NOAA issue	We cannot arbitrarily "reduce the scope" since we have to support the NWS mission and related priorities. Thus, we have to find an effective way to enable effective partnerships and leverage other resources within the NMA to address these issues. For example, while we focus on the CFS (with GFDL), GSI, GFS, NAM/WRF connection, we rely on the Navy for the ocean model, ESRL for the upgrade to the high resolution rapid refresh, to ARL for the upgrade to air quality and NOS for the development and maintenance of regional coastal models implemented on the NCEP computer. We do agree about the importance of this issue and are currently working with NWSH to better establish NCEP roles for today and the future (2020 planning process). We've developed an interim solution for the HRRR and will be working with OAR to ensure there is access for the community with near operational reliability. JAN 2014 – Staffing increase of 15-20 contractors due to Sandy Supplemental in the upcoming year. Discontinuing some little used products such as RTOFS tracer.	Action taken 1-5 yrs and Ongoing
(6) Ongoing Periodic Review - The NCEP has been valuable in providing an opportunity for introspection on the parts of the NCEP centers and NCEP as a whole and in making a number of recommendations that are likely to lead	6.1 - Conduct NCEP review every 5 yrs	Met with Ed Johnson Oct 10 to work through the potential FACA considerations and then held follow-on meeting to develop a committee membership (still planning on a contracted committee option) Currently working through the logistical aspects and will likely have continued UCAR reviews every 5 yrs similar to this review.	Completed decision to conduct a review of NCEP every 5 yrs
to changes and improvements in NCEP's products and services, interactions with		Review every five years will be instituted unless the ongoing	COMPLETED ongoing

stakeholders, and organizational culture.		review team sees the continuous engagement being provided is	
Recommendation - In order to preclude large periods of time transpiring before the next set of reviews, NCEP should formalize a periodic review process, to occur every 5-6 years.		sufficient.	
review process, to occur every 5 o years.	Overarching Recommendations from Oct 201	11 Interim Review	
(7) Budget impacts – EMC increasingly dependent on external funding - Leading to mission creep (i.e. if the customer is paying we'll do what they want vice what might be done otherwise) - Performing critical duties with non-federal employees Recommendation – 1) Continue to be vigilant and engage NOAA leadership leveraging stake holders support 2) Make use of the proposed innovation fund to support mission-critical initiatives.	7.1 – Recent investigations of NWS financial security have revealed several gaps which spurred a baseline budget review. NCEP is currently preparing its relevant portions of the baseline budget exercise and will provide detailed costs and indicate gaps for activities which are currently not resourced or paid for out of base. Actions will be developed through initial recommendation and response MV2. See MV2	We are shooting for a 75%-25% base-soft funding ratio and are working with the NOAA Climate Program Office to develop the associated funding strategy. While EMC currently has a 50%-50% ratio, many of the soft funds come from other components within NOAA, so we are hopeful in being able to address this issue. Continues to be a challenge and will be exacerbated by potential impending reduced base resources. Already seeing extreme pressure on reduction of soft funding. We'll continue to look for efficiencies to provide the maximum service within available resources, but managing gaps between expected services and resources available will continue to be a difficult area for NCEP. O2JUL12 – NWS is required to submit budget requirements to rebase-line the NWS budget and NCEP is actively engaged in this exercise and will submit gap analysis which quantifies this problem. Have elevated to action 7, overarching issue. JAN2014 - Continuing to be a challenge due to reduction in base funding (split now is ~40%-60%, Base-Soft). Could change with new budget. Difficult to define Base versus Soft due to change from the FMCs to the PPAs.	No Progress Ongoing 1-3 yrs
(8) Proposed Open Weather and Climate Services (OWCS) Recommendations: - NOAA implement OWCS incrementally - Quickly target short-term actions that can receive accelerated implementation - Consider mechanisms that catalyze better interactions between NOAA's development laboratories and broader enterprise - NOAA should examine the challenges with implementing OWCS, particularly WRT DA,	8.1 – NCEP has a role in OWCS and advocates not only OCWS, but added component for the water aspect whether hydrologic or oceanographic. Today, NCEP is actively involved in running ocean and coastal models, the data and products from these model runs must also be included along with other environmental models as we expand into other areas. The NCEP portion of OWCS is currently and should be through the NOMADS system. Model output and raw fields are already available to those who wish to retrieve them. We will continue to expand the availability and continue supporting an open access policy as best capable given the increasing volume of information. 8.2 – NCEP will continue to be engaged at the NOAA/NWS levels on OWCS, lending both experience and leadership as other parts of NOAA mature in the area of data access and overall NOAA policy evolves to promote better access for the weather, climate, ocean	OGJUL12 – There are two ways NCEP/NWS can approach this and at present the most cost effective and practical way is for the client to pull information vice NWS push the information. NCEP will discuss with UCACN at the Oct offsite, but for now NOMADS remains the only viable option as the product and model suite is ever increasing finding the bandwidth to move the information required under a full OWCS will be a significant resource issue.	No Progress 3-5 yrs

modeling, product generation, and data distribution. - Could be done through pilot projects - NCEP should follow OWCS progress, be actively engaged in activities which lead to OWCS.	ecological enterprise community. The UCACN can help engage the broader enterprise to help develop the most effective and satisfactory means to accomplish this without significant additional resources.		
(9) Ongoing Recommendation – The Unified Modeling System (UMS) approach is recognized as the lowest cost and shortest pathway to seamless prediction at all space and time scales. - NCEP and OAR develop strategy to engage their workforce in development and implementation of UMS - Make it a core activity of the 10-yr strategic plan being developed by EMC with OAR, academia and other federal partners	7.1 – NCEP has adopted the Environmental Systems Modeling Framework (ESMF) as a standard which provides for code interoperability. Having a standardized code format will allow for better R2O, additionally it will streamline the implementation process. While not a perfect UMS solution yet it offers the bridge to a UMS. 7.2 – NCEP led by EMC will work with UCACN and a subset of UCACN described as the Science Advisory Committee to help with the development of 1) a strategic plan for EMC 2) including development of better pathway to a UMS.	O2JU12 – There is a need for a collective NOAA effort to develop an overall modeling plan and with the incoming DUS coming from DoD we predict this will have greater focus and priority. NCEP/CPC and the Climate Test Bed hosted two meetings with over 150 scientists in attendance echoing the needs to a UMS. During the meetings for CFSv2 evaluation and CFSv3 planning the groups recommend (white paper developed) 1) Initiate and execute a fully unified weather-climate model strategy 2) They also recognized the need for a ESRL and NOAA modeling plan 3) NCEP is also proceeding with the ensuring new implementations to the degree possible, are accomplished using the Earth Systems Modeling Framework to improve code portability and compatibility.	Ongoing 3-5 yrs
	Mission and Vision		
and above what could be achieved by the individe Finding MV2: The current NCEP Director's efforts	organization of the nine centers that comprise NCEP are, on the whole wal centers if they were not coordinated. In other words, the whole of N , to make collaboration among the NCEP service centers a strategic bas one, specifically in breaking down barriers between service centers, bet	CEP is greater than the sum of its parts. is for improvement, are good.	
Recommendation MV1: To facilitate the improvement, the NCEP Director needs to engage continuously the service center directors in strategic planning (in addition to planning associated with the Annual Operating Plan – AOP – and NCEP Technical Operating Plan – NTOP).	Increase meeting frequency with center directors and visits to external centers Developing HPC strategic plan CPC mission evolution through NCS planning process AWC strategic planning for NEXTGEN	 HPC Strategic plan near completion The development of a Climate Service is currently stalled, but CPC remains engaged in climate activities along with partners to ensure valuable product and services are being provided to the public Continued engagement with NWS HQ, NCEP centers on WRN, Roadmap, NGSPin addition to internal AOP/NTOP process. We've also ensured that NWS HQ has representation at NCEP strategic planning meetings. Next Gen is moving forward with a higher confidence pace JUL12 - Despite NCS inactivity CPC continues to advance climate predictive capability and engage the broader community to provide greater service and improve models. JAN2014 - AOP annual meetings in place, with follow up actions. Monthly telecons with the Centers in place. Travel 	FY10 ongoing

		restrictions due to budget has hampered site visits.	
		· ·	
	nd commends the NCEP Director for strong leadership. Due in part to hi	s leadership, there has been considerable progress made in NCEP as a v	whole since
last set of reviews.	was adad their CDDA newformance magazines		
Finding MV5: NCEP service centers have met or e		and a standard to a standard and a few and a f	and the dead
	f civil service human resources in the 1990s without a commensurate re	rauction in mission, and also as a result of its expanding mission, NCEP,	, particularly
	money support. This is a risk to the NCEP mission.		
Finding MV7: NCEP is under-resourced with respect		NA/a and a deliberation (for divine the analyst of an anal	
Recommendation MV2: The ratio of funds	EMC and CPC, mainly, have a large portion of their activities	We cannot arbitrarily "reduce the scope" since we have to	
from the NOAA base to funds from soft NOAA	financed through soft sources. This is a reality of the business and	support the NWS mission and related priorities. Thus, we have to	
and non-NOAA sources needs to be increased,	while not ideal is the only way the volume of work required is to be	find an effective way to leverage other resources within the NMA	
in order to mitigate risk to the execution of	accomplished in a strict fiscal environment.	to address these issues. We are shooting for a 75%-25% base-	
current and future core mission components.	Review soft sources and seek hard funding to ensure all critical	soft funding ratio and are working with the NOAA Climate	
One way to effect this change is by increasing	operational functions are inherently hard funded	Program Office to develop the associated funding strategy. While	
collaboration with partners to offload the non-		EMC currently has a 50%-50% ratio, many of the soft funds come	
mission-critical activities, for which partnership		from other components within NOAA, so we are hopeful in being	
agreements to jointly manage resources and		able to address this issue.	
jointly develop and monitor annual operating			
plans are critical.		Continues to be a challenge and will be exacerbated by potential	NO
		impending reduced base resources. Already seeing extreme	PROGRESS
		pressure on reduction of soft funding. We'll continue to look for	1-3 yrs
		efficiencies to provide the maximum service within available	Ongoing
		resources, but managing gaps between expected services and	
		resources available will continue to be a difficult area for NCEP.	
		02JUL12 – NWS is required to submit budget requirements to	
		rebase-line the NWS budget and NCEP is actively engaged in this	
		exercise and will submit gap analysis which quantifies this	
		problem. Have elevated to action 7, overarching issue.	
		JAN2014 - Continuing to be a challenge due to reduction in base	
		funding (split now is ~40%-60%, Base-Soft). Could change with	
		new budget. Difficult to define Base versus Soft due to change	
		from the FMCs to the PPAs.	
Finding MV8: NCFP's mission portfolio is very large	ge, and there are pressures to increase the portfolio due to the advent o		nd climate
. , , ,	ace weather, ecosystems, air quality, and other areas that are beyond t		
	n of changes to the NCEP modeling suite is an important process that in		us and often
ineffective.	roj changes to the root modeling salte is an important process that in	remes an real content remerely the process appears to be contented	uo unu ojten
Recommendation MV3: The Director of NCEP	NCEP Director actively working with NCO/EMC in developing and	The implementation process has been fully developed and	
needs to work with all center directors,	testing a new model implementation process. It will improve	documented. It is currently being tested and EMC/NCO will make	
particularly EMC and NCO, to design a	throughput and standardization	this plan available to the review committee. The new process will	
thorough, standardized and competent	throughput and standardization	improve the throughput by weeks. Implementations are	
evaluation and implementation process. The		currently being run through the new process and there are	
design of this process should take into		substantive efficiencies realized. This process will need continued	COMPLETED
consideration the possibility of involving an		monitoring and adjustment, but the results are promising so far.	Ongoing
independent evaluation entity. At the same		morntoring and adjustment, but the results are profitising so ldf.	
time, it cannot be so burdensome as to		IAN2014 - Testing complete, the process is deployed	
time, it cannot be so burdensome as to		JAN2014 – Testing complete, the process is deployed.	

preclude steady implementation of necessary

improvements.

Recommendation MV4: To address the issues of the provision of weather services and interaction with the research community more holistically, NCEP, or more properly NOAA, should consider requesting the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to conduct a study on how NCEP, NWS (field offices), NOAA, the academic community and interested stakeholders could engage more effectively.	Will be discussed with Ed Johnson and Jack Hayes to determine best path forward	As noted previously we'll seek advisory services from a UCAR develop committee. The idea is to have the keyed up executive committee involved with our annual planning meeting(s). This could include the short term planning at the AOP meeting and/or offsite strategic planning meeting to provide insight to the committee on the path NCEP is planning to follow before the plans are finalized, allowing for the committee to provide input which will help ensure our strategic plans are in-line with community needs. The UCACN has been setup in lieu of an academy committee and will work with NCEP to help advise NCEP in this area. We'll continue to use the UCACN as a group to engage with on this topic.	On track 1-3 yrs
Finding MV10: The NCEP service centers are begin	nning to work and/or communicate well together on some activities. F	· ·	nd the ongoing
	-2 forecast products between CPC and HPC, are encouraging. Given th		
beneficial collaborations could be enhanced or in	itiated.		
Recommendation MV5: The NCEP Director should look across the organization for potential new or enhanced collaborative opportunities, among the service centers and with outside entities. Finding MV11: Connecting annual evaluations to	Recent collaboration efforts underway include: - Data assimilation plan - NOS MOA and CONOPS for modeling - HYCOM Ocean/Land modeling w/DOD worked through HQ/OS&T and EMP - NEXTGEN and FAA - HMT, HWT and AWT work in coordinated fashion on spring experiment w/ common focus on convection - GOES-R evaluation and demonstrations	 Data assimilation plan signed and being executed (NCEP, ESRL, NASA, OU); Working with NOS on model implementation (also have one FTE funded by NOS) Engaging with other centers (CMC through NAEFS, FNMOC, UKMO – Space wx, volcanic ash, India – GFS and CFS) NCWCP co-located with UMD will have 40 spaces for VSP NCEP will continue to aggressively seek additional collaborative opportunities JAN 2014 – Renewal of UMD MOU, VSP document signed and in process, Agreement with NRL on use of NCODA 	On track
Tinding 1919 11. Connecting annual evaluations to	<u> </u>	ay proving to be effective.	
	Customers and Partners ness and collaboration within NOAA, with other US institutions, and wi potential to entrain research results from other NOAA laboratories and		
in an effective way. Finding CP2: Despite the progress in this area, the visiting scientist program at NCEP has waxed and	e effectiveness and impact of the testbeds has varied considerably from waned over the years and is currently in a relatively low state of activi ational programs, NCEP does not have as strong an international leader	center to center, and NCEP remains insufficiently engaged with the co ty and integration across NCEP.	
Recommendation CP1: A multi-faceted plan is needed that builds on the organizational strengths of NCEP and the early successes and lessons learned from the Testbeds to enhance engagement with the rest of the community.	Develop a multi-faceted, clear, and comprehensive plan to increase transparency and enhance the community involvement. The testbeds will continue to have unique management and resourcing. They will be managed and structured uniquely as well, with similar reporting and accountability processes.	Each test bed is program supported, resourced, and managed individually. It is unlikely a common management architecture/framework will allow for the flexibility in the differences required by each test bed. We currently have testbeds in various stages of evolution and levels of resourcing, but view all as being effective at meeting the intent "accelerating research into operations". This may be an area we need to	1-3 yrs Ongoing

	Products and Services	for this purpose	
Recommendation CP3: NCEP should solicit feedback, and suggestions for improved products and services from partners and stakeholders within the NWS.	Develop program whereby centers interact with the user community on a regular and consorted basis	 NCEP continues to expand and strengthen relationships with other NOAA and NWS components. Recently collaboration with these internal partners has lead to improved products for Alaska, Hawaii and Puerto Rico. NCEP will also expand on the current NCO program to call customers and include NWS customers (probably our biggest) Annual model review meeting with external attendance hosted by EMC Organizing Severe Weather Workshop and other related events to engage more closely with other agencies, social scientists and the public Individual centers have list of external engagements 	1-3 yrs/ongoing
Finding CP5: While the surveys and the review pa	ers deserves praise. For example, all Centers are working hard to impi nel found that NCEP responded well to Forecast Office problems with of Hydrology, forecast/climate services in OCWWS, Regional Offices,	model guidance, increased dialog with other organizations within the N	ational
		number of visiting scientists. The new building has 40 spaces set aside for visiting scientists which will be supporting various NOAA programs. Tasked be Assistant Secretary to make the VSP a flagship for the what is new at NOAA when the NCWCP is opened. 07JAN2014 – Internships handled from a Center level. VSP agreement signed, awaiting implementation.	
Recommendation CP2: A more vigorous visiting scientist program is needed that is tightly linked to programs in the academic community and driven both by scientific issues and mission demands.	Enhance the visiting scientist program Work into program plans based on the move to the new building where there will be the facilities to support approximately 40 visiting positions	While there is no formal program, NCEP and its associated testbeds are involved in numerous projects which seek and receive external scientist interest support. NCEP is also involved in research type ventures which directly relate to improving the products we supply. - Winter - Spring experiment - DYNAMO - Global Hawk - UCAR Post Docs (SWPC, CPC, EMC) It should also be noted that there has been recent discussion that NWS plans to establish its own Visiting Scientist grant which may pose an opportunity for NCEP to grow its current program and	Ongoing
		discuss further with an advisory type committee to ensure we continually fine-tune the testbed structure/process and maximize potential.	

F'.d' PC4 NCCD'		. Const. and Makela	
<u> </u>	making real-time weather and climate data, codes, and other products		
	y professional and timely suite of administrative services to the rest of t		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		emands in areas that have traditionally been served by NCEP (aviation, s	
		ge in the future (ecosystem prediction, NextGen, National Climate Servic	e, air ana
	d possible new directions that will be defined as part of the new NWS s	•	
, , ,	ify redundancies across Centers, there is no provision for discontinuing p		
Recommendation PS1: The Office of the	Develop as an agenda item at the AOP meeting for discussion	What we are finding is rather than discontinuing products we are	
Director will need to manage a growing	(develop systematic process for what and how to terminate - and	finding ways of automating products to free up resources. Case	
portfolio of activities spread across the Centers	what can be automated)	in point is the daily weather map which is old but is still	4.2
to meet the growing and emerging demands		downloaded several times per month by a diverse user	1-3 yrs and
for products and services. Such management		community.	ongoing
should include a rational process for periodic			
identification and discontinuation of products			
that are obsolete or low in demand.	Information Contains		
	Information Systems		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		P has a requirement to stay abreast of the latest developments in high-e	
		ative improvements in product generation and delivery capabilities will r	require a mor
	e interface by which users access products, and the underlying technolo		
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish	There will need to be a better concerted effort with the way we	Centers and NWS offices handle their own web content following	
policies, processes, and practices that will	address web access and information in general. Will engage NWS	more standardized approach, which is probably the best model as	
allow users to create customized interactions	on this to ensure concerted NWS-wide coordinated effort.	they would be the best to gauge the customer base. There is a	
with CPC information systems, including		commonly accepted framework, but content continues to be	
dynamic process initiation, so that users can		handled by the individual centers in concert with NOAA CIO	
perform customized analysis and generate		office. There is always room for development in this area and	
customized products on demand, user		improvement in simplifying access to content and CPC has been	
accounts and registration that allow		in the lead at developing their web content through outside	
maintenance of choices and portfolios across		contract services. This effort was actually modeled at the NWS	
sessions, and implementation of new methods		level and was instrumental in the development of the NOAA	1-3 yrs
for providing information and engaging with		Climate Portal.	20 1.0
users (e.g., podcasts, webinars). These policies,			
processes, and practices should foster			
interoperability among products and tools			
within NCEP, NWS, NOAA, and beyond. This			
ncludes a process of active engagement with			
external groups that are developing new tools			
for users (public, academic, and private			
sector), and easy access to explicit technical			
information, e.g., meta-data.			
	y. Each center has a different balance between in-house and NCO-man		
Ainaing 133: NCEP is strivina towara a unified syst	tem of cyberinfrastructure and information technology (IT) activities, in	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
<u> </u>	Develop IT standards charter (ESMF)	ESMF provides a software architecture which will be	
Recommendation IS2: The site review panel	Develop it standards charter (25111)		
Recommendation IS2: The site review panel affirms its support for a more unified system of	Society is statistical as sharter (25.111)	implemented primarily by EMC (with NCO support). While this	
Recommendation IS2: The site review panel affirms its support for a more unified system of cyber infrastructure and IT activities, taking	Service (25)	does present a significant potential for increased efficiency, ESMF	1-3 yrs
Recommendation IS2: The site review panel affirms its support for a more unified system of cyber infrastructure and IT activities, taking advantage of efficiencies of centralization and		does present a significant potential for increased efficiency, ESMF is not in itself a "unified system of cyber infrastructure and IT	1-3 yrs
Recommendation IS2: The site review panel affirms its support for a more unified system of cyber infrastructure and IT activities, taking advantage of efficiencies of centralization and economies of scale. Nevertheless, NCO should establish policies, processes, and practices that		does present a significant potential for increased efficiency, ESMF	1-3 yrs

will foster interoperability among products and			
tools within NCEP, NWS, NOAA, and beyond.		All charters are reviewed by the NCEP Centers that will sign the	
		charter. The charter for the IT Standard Project was reviewed by all Centers. The process for establishing a new IT standard	
		requires all Centers to review the standard.	
		requires an ecriters to review the standard.	
		JAN 2014 – No update	
Recommendation IS3: NCEP should clearly delineate NCO's responsibilities and those of the NCEP service centers to clarify roles and responsibilities and to identify the IT services that will and will not be provided centrally to the different service centers. For example, NCO could provide centralized support for IT security, hardware and software procurement and system and system-software maintenance, certification and accreditation audits, and other services to be agreed upon, with an eye toward mitigating unnecessary duplication between NCO and the NCEP organizations that it supports. Code for products and services developed locally could be maintained by the service centers. This would require that they be permitted to hire expertise in such software.	Identify what IT functions can be centralized and which are better suited to be localized	Developed roving ITSO position to help support the external centers in the ever demanding problems associated with meeting IT security standards and certification requirements. Also centralizing IT support to the maximum extent possible. These two implemented measures need time before gauging effectiveness (6 months) and determining if additional measures are required to improve our ability to keep up with ever increasing demand. JAN 2014 – NHC and NCO are now being merged into one FISMA system.	Ongoing 1-3 yrs
configured relative to the requirement.	rommensurate with the scope of the mission. The HEC, disk storage, and	Tong-term archival systems are each at least an order of magnitude a	nuer-
Recommendation IS4: NCEP requires a	Resources and flux in resources limit acquisition updates and scale.	Agree and addressed previously in overarching Finding 4,	
significant increase in its computing capability,	Continuous involvement between EMC, NCO, CFO and external community are underway to manage upgrades.	Computing Capability. We continue to advocate for sufficient CCS	
with at least an order of magnitude increase in			
canability over the payt tive years	community are underway to manage appraises.	resources, however the current budget environment may limit	
capability over the next five years.		our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to	1-5 yrs
capability over the next five years.	See Overarching Section #4, above	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by	1-5 yrs
capability over the next five years.		our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to	1-5 yrs
capability over the next five years.		our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by	1-5 yrs
capability over the next five years.		our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever	1-5 yrs
	See Overarching Section #4, above TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP.	1-5 yrs
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish	See Overarching Section #4, above TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction	1-5 yrs
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been	1-5 yrs
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit	1-5 yrs
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions with NCEP information systems, including	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit their operational needs. Other examples of cooperative	,
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions with NCEP information systems, including dynamic process initiation so that users can	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit their operational needs. Other examples of cooperative relationships and work are seen in projects like the newly	1-3 yr
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions with NCEP information systems, including dynamic process initiation so that users can perform customized analysis and generate	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. V PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit their operational needs. Other examples of cooperative relationships and work are seen in projects like the newly adopted selected cities high/low temperature processing, and in	,
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions with NCEP information systems, including dynamic process initiation so that users can perform customized analysis and generate customized products on demand, user	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. N PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit their operational needs. Other examples of cooperative relationships and work are seen in projects like the newly adopted selected cities high/low temperature processing, and in the NCEP GIS teams sharing of knowledge and facilitation of	1-3 yr
Recommendation IS1: NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will allow users to create customized interactions with NCEP information systems, including dynamic process initiation so that users can perform customized analysis and generate	TRANSFERRED TO OD FROM WPC ACTION - HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully	our ability to obtain operational CCS which would allow us to reach our full potential and fulfill the requirements demanded by our customers. We've expanded our use of R&D systems to continue to progress in development and even taken first ever steps to provide near operational capability outside the NCEP CCS structure. This will continue to be a challenging area for NCEP. V PLAN The greatest strides forward in this area come from interaction and collaboration in AWIPS2. Forecasters are and have been involved with testing and configuring the new systems to suit their operational needs. Other examples of cooperative relationships and work are seen in projects like the newly adopted selected cities high/low temperature processing, and in	1-3 yr

methods for providing information and engaging with users (e.g., podcasts, webinars). (No HPC-led component.)		available in GIS format. More importantly, NCEP has increased collaboration with both field offices and external users to provide new formats, new products, and improved access to NCEP models and other data.	
Recommendation IS2a (NCEP-led component): NCEP should establish policies, processes, and practices that will foster interoperability among products and tools for non-NOAA stakeholders. This includes a process of active engagement with external groups that are developing new tools for users (public, academic, and private sector), and easy access to explicit technical information, e.g., meta-data. Engagement with the Earth Science Information Partners (ESIP) Federation, Earth Observing System Clearinghouse (ECHO), and similar groups is encouraged, with participation by NCEP IT staff. (There is also an HPC-led component of the original recommendation IS2.)	- HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully until after the move to NCWCP is completed.	The goal of providing effective products, effective documentation and leading the way toward innovation in meteorological science is a challenging one given the diversity and range of interests in our constituency. Across the enterprise there are many opportunities to collaborate and share information. Many groups are participating in the development of AWIPS2. Their suggestions and requests are being monitored, listened to and implemented. Within NCEP, development and active engagement are all taking place as the availability of products and product feedback paths are created and publicized. Technologies in the areas of parameterization and visualization of large data sets are continually immerging. We continue to look forward to those technologies while being conservative in our adoption of new technologies while trends in use, adoption and standardization emerge. OBJAN2014 – NCEP as a whole has adopted new tools such as Facebook, Twitter, and NWSChat to facilitate Decision Support Services, increase dissemination and interaction with the internal and external communities. Integrated Dissemination Project (IDP) will be standardizing GIS development and Ops Platforms across NOAA in FY15. NOMADS is being integrated w/AWIPS2 in FY15.	1-3 yr Ongoing
Recommendation IS4: NCEP OD should establish policies, processes, and practices that more effectively leverage external partner capabilities in designing and implementing new products and decision support tools. This includes policies and processes for prioritizing research-to-operations transitions, assessing whether a transition is best accomplished through adoption of externally developed code or internal redesign and implementation, moving software code to NCEP centers and training staff on both system operations and code extensions, and for ensuring continued access of research groups to the operational code base which facilitates continued development of additional capabilities. The latter includes formal mechanisms for collaborative software development. (No HPC-led component.)	- HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully until after the move to NCWCP is completed.	Some policies and procedures are in place and are adjusted as new requirements and requests are sent. There is a lot of diversity in research organizations as with the uses of the data we provide. Developing external partner capabilities is an ongoing challenge, and in recognizing this we are continually refining our processes and engagements to provide the most help to a diverse customer set. JAN 2014 – Opened up s/w development request process to all users, including: NAWIPS, AWIPS2, NOMADS, MAG, etc.	Ongoing 1-3 yr

Recommendation IS5: NCEP should provide external research groups with explicit guidance on NCEP requirements that new products or tools must meet to be compatible with their operations (e.g., automation requirements) or information systems (e.g., coding standards, interoperability with operating systems or databases). (No HPC-led component.)	 HPC and NCO have discussed potential solutions and there are examples of successes. A full assessment and action plan still needs further attention and NCO will not be able to address/engage on the following fully until after the move to NCWCP is completed. 	NCEP has long maintained web documentation for specific operational and research components. Source code, utilities, programs, libraries, code samples and documents describing their use are available. As customer demand increases, NCO is prepared to make additional documentation and code available. Whether it is available and distributed directly from NCEP owned servers or maintained and disseminated through research organizations like Unidata. We recognize the need to publish and make available our code, standards and assistance to outside partners.	1-3 yr
Recommendation IS6a: (NCEP-led component): NCEP should provide HPC with software engineering capabilities by assignment of NCEP NCO staff to HPC. (There is also an HPC-led component of the original recommendation IS6.)	Building on experiences gained through recent software development activity with NCO and HPC: devise systematic approach, standardized and sustainable policies and procedures to facilitate higher order development capability within HPC and other centers	NCEP and the NCO development groups have successfully worked with HPC on several occasions to provide expertise in application design and best practices in systems and application engineering. We expect those partnerships to continue and increase in frequency as the level of complexity of software increases. One of the challenges is to expose the knowledge gained in the past to keep it available to current design and development efforts. We plan to continue growing our understanding and use of software engineering within HPC and NCEP. NCO and HPC leadership have met to review and devise actions which more formally address this recommendation. They are unable to address this recommendation sufficiently until the current workload decreases after the move, will update FY13Q1. 08JAN2014 – In Dec 2013, WPC assigned staff to NCO to provide a learning experience in IT areas common to both centers to improve WPC capabilities. WPC and NCO routinely work together on projects to increase expertise and accomplish mutual goals both immediate and long term.	1-3 yr Ongoing
	Science and Technology	<u> </u>	
is an excellent idea. Finding ST2: The maturity, effectiveness and important finding ST3: The service centers don't all have a continuous ST4: Entraining the best understanding, the Recommendation ST2: The NCEP Director needs	of the testbed strategic and implementation plans; y funding for their testbed activities.	vice centers. d.	ervice centers
Recommendation ST1: NCEP should require that every service center has strategic and implementation plans that describes how its testbed advances the center's mission.	Centers will review current planning documents to ensure testbeds are visible	The priorities for NOAA are clearly articulated in the Annual Guidance Memo developed by the NOAA Administrator and Deputy Under Secretary. These are mapped into the NWS and center strategic plans and AOPs. The Science Advisory Board is tasked with ensuring that TBs are focused on strategic research to operations objectives. One recent outcome is an IOOS effort to spin-up an Ocean Testbed located at OPC. Oct meeting summary previously provided.	1 yr

Recommendation ST2: The NCEP Director needs to be more proactive in a. overseeing the centers' development of the testbed strategic and implementation plans; b. helping the center directors to identify funding for their testbed activities. Recommendation ST3: NCEP, in cooperation	The testbeds are managed more independently by design to facilitate better R2O and better serve the individual TB needs. The Directors are charged with working funding through and external to OD. A data assimilation plan has been developed and signed by all	Testbed established with IOOS funding and SURA support. Currently engaged with NOS and IOOS in developing TB with OPC involvement and facilities within the NCWCP Discuss - Need to develop clear guidance to ensure all entities are aligned properly. OCWWS looking at developing a follow-on operational testing and evaluation entity to help move R2O. JUL12 – While the TBs are on varying levels of maturity we continue to model and take lessons learned from the experiences with the JHT and other more mature TBs. The testbeds are functioning effectively despite a non-uniform approach to management and funding and will continue as designed. A signed Data Assimilation Plan involving NASA/GSFC,	1-3 yrs
with external experts, should develop a strategic plan for atmospheric and oceanic data assimilation to guide the way forward over the next five years.	parties.	NOAA/ESRL, OU and EMC has been put in place and will set the planning over the next several years. Will provide DA plan update to committee.	COMPLETED
	People Organization Culture		
Finding POC3: There is a very large workload as operational, strategic planning, transition from them. Recommendation POC1: NCEP requires a Deputy Director who can handle the day to day operations of NCEP as well as many other	eputy director, but it has had no deputy director since 1996. sociated with the Office of the Director that has grown significantly alon research-to-operations, international support, labor relations and public Engage NWS and NOAA to seek approval to develop this position Same as Over-Arching #3, above	We recognize the need and will continue to pursue the deputy position first. If successful we will then pursue the COO position. Due to the current fiscal environment, we are not optimistic that	
internally-directed duties, freeing up the Director to think more strategically and forge new collaborations and partnerships within NOAA, the federal government, the US academic community, the private sector and abroad.		either of these positions will be established in the short-term; however, we will continue to advocate for both positions. O2JUL12 - Recent investigations of NWS financial security have revealed several gaps which spurred a baseline budget review of the NWS budget. NCEP is currently preparing its relevant portions of the baseline budget exercise and will provide detailed costs and gap analysis on activities which are not resourced out of base. This FTE position will be identified and submitted as a gap.	1-3 yr
Recommendation POC2: The vacancy in the position of NCEP Chief Operations Officer should be filled.	Engage NWS HQ to seek approval to develop this position Same as Over-Arching #3, above	See POC1. Fiscal environment unlikely to support such in the near future. O2JUL12 - Recent investigations of NWS financial security have revealed several gaps which spurred a baseline budget review of the NWS budget. NCEP is currently preparing its relevant portions of the baseline budget exercise and will provide detailed costs and gap analysis on activities which are not resourced out of base. This FTE position will be identified and submitted as a gap.	1-3 yr

9	s been significantly delayed, yet again, most recently by economic factor erious negative effect on staff morale, budgeting, and the ability to add	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Recommendation POC3: The NCEP Director, working with NWS, NOAA and DOC, should continue and redouble efforts to ensure that the National Center for Weather and Climate Prediction (NCWCP) becomes a reality and the	OD is working to move the NCWCP to completion as rapidly as possible. Progress is currently outside of NCEP, NWS and DOC control.	Bankruptsy court decision yielded but there continues to legal matter to be resolved namely a potential appeal from the contractor. Expect 2012 as earliest move in. Contractual and legal implications resolved and building is on	
move to the new buildin2g is made as efficiently and expeditiously as possible.		track for FY12 delivery. Move to be completed by EOY FY12. 02JUL12 – All ongoing tests of operational systems and COOP are on track and there appears no additional obstacle to full operational capability at NCWCP. OD will move to the NCWCP on Aug 2 nd with other centers to follow in the coming months.	COMPLETED
Finding POC5: The NCEP in-house culture has evo	l Dived over the past 20 years from a relatively informal research-oriented	Expect completed move by end of FY12. collegial atmosphere to a more process-oriented, mission-driven culture.	ıre.
	ith on-time delivery of products and services as a high priority, NCEP nee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
accountability, IT security, etc.).			
Finding POC7: As a science organization, NCEP n	·	Described Out most in a supervision with a MCFD coult of	
Recommendation POC4: NCEP needs to strive	The balance between research and operations is continuously	Provided Oct meeting summary to committee. NCEP continues	
for balance between operational strictures and fostering innovation, adopting a proper	evolving. NCEP is focusing resources to better support operations while fostering relationships at OAR, universities etc to provide	to make R2O and O2R a priority. Testbeds have been formalized at most centers and we have created and strengthened	1-5 yrs
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	1-5 YIS
level of structure and process without	more research input.	partnerships with the academia and research community.	
suppressing a creative research environment.	Work with program offices to secure funding	d a a "aa taka a maantalitu" "iatalla atual ata anatian" a "tuus alaas a	
	th is non-uniform among centers (this situation is variously characterized		ystem).
Recommendation POC5: The NCEP Director	There are several programs in place to facilitate PD. Additional	An NCEP leadership program is in its infancy phase of	
should work with service center directors to	resources need to be applied and time allowed for more robust and	development and expected to be completed during 2012. All	
be more proactive about professional	consorted improvement in this area.	employees are given the opportunity to develop Individual	Ongoing
development for their staff members,	Develop comprehensive professional career development plan	Development Plans and training plans are executed as resources	
including research components of their		permit. Note 1.5% of NCEP's base budget is devoted to training	
activities and linkages to testbeds.		and other professional development activities of its employees.	
	tion between the OD and the NCEP centers, especially on financial matte	ers and planning processes (e.g. the NCEP Technical Operating Plan $$ - N	ITOP – and
Annual Operating Plan - AOP – processes).			
,	a somewhat byzantine combination of inputs from the NOAA Planning, F		
	Although the Director supports the PPBES process, e.g. because it gets N	ICEP more engaged in NOAA beyond the NWS, new FTE positions are v	ery difficult to
obtain.			
	a world leader in environmental prediction is hindered by the lack of flex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	e hiring, promotion, budgeting, etc. processes is recognized by NCEP mar		VOAA.
Recommendation BP1: NOAA/NWS should	Currently hiring for key position support through contracts.	NOAA Human Resources has recently revamped its hiring	
conduct a review of the constraints on hiring	Otherwise limited by law.	processes per Pres. Obama's Hiring Reform Policy. The goal is to	
highly-qualified talented scientists, which are		accelerate the recruitment process and make it more efficient to	
often imposed by overly-burdensome		enter the civil workforce. NWS is also establishing its own	1-5 yrs
bureaucratic rules from other organizations		Visiting Scientist Program in 2012 which provides an opportunity	
(CIO, DOC, OPM) that may not fully appreciate		to grow and recruit scientists from around the world.	
the negative impact.			
	mmunications technology and less restrictive travel budgets would allow	\prime more staff interactions among centers, and greater interaction with t	he research
community.			

	·		
Recommendation BP2: NCEP should consider	Need to examine ne w and better ways to interact using VTC,	NCEP continues to work with the NWS Office of Communications	
more creative business processes to increase	telecom, periodic conferences etc.	in developing an outreach strategy. One output from this	
interactions that would enhance the		discussion is the development of a newsletter with distribution	
integration and synergy that could be		on a quarterly basis and will examine mass distribution through	1-5 yrs
achieved.		electronic means on (NWS, NOAA, NCEP, UCAR websites etc.)	
		NCEP quarterly newsletter enacted and ongoing.	
Finding BP6: The NCEP Review charged in Nover	mber 2008 and conducted in 2009 has been valuable in providing an opp	ortunity for introspection on the parts of the NCEP centers and NCEP as	a whole and
in making a number of recommendations that a	re likely to lead to changes and improvements in NCEP's products and se	ervices, interactions with stakeholders, and organizational culture.	
Recommendation BP3: In order to preclude	Conduct review every 5 yrs	Conduct UCAR review similar to is one every 5 yrs. The advisory	
large periods of time transpiring before the	, ,	type committee will meet atleast annually with NCEP during AOP	
next set of reviews, NCEP should formalize a	Same as Over-Arching #6, above	and or Oct offsite strategic planning meetings to gauge NCEP	
periodic review process, to occur every 5-6	0 1,111	direction and provide input.	
years.			
700.01		UCACN and/or 5 yr review will be accomplished per	
		recommendation of the UCACN	1-5 yrs
		recommendation of the object	1 J y13
		02-JUL12 – Continued engagement and advisement will occur	
		informally between appropriate members of the UCACN and the	
		individual centers with formal meeting once annually in Oct to	
		,	
		continue strategic review and planning (next meeting Oct 23-25, 2012).	
Passammandation RD4. To incorporate now	This is best addressed through the many cooperative institutes	NOAA currently assists in the maintenance of several cooperative	
Recommendation BP4: To incorporate new	9 , ,	·	
research and technology into its suite of	NOAA sponsors at research/educational facilities.	institutes and this is the venue used to work closely with the	
products and services, without compromising		research community. NCEP also advocates the proposal process	
forecast integrity, NCEP must further embrace		in its test beds to solicit, test and implement new science from its	
public and private partnerships and consider		external partners into operations.	4 =
creating a research and development new			1-5 yrs
product cell to test, review and recommend		Initial engagement underway with the private sector to	
ideas. NCEP could work with academia, other		potentially develop future products for renewable energy.	
government labs and/or private industry to			
create a mechanism to introduce and test new			
products.			
BP4a Topic of Special Attention Oct 2011: The	While we have components in place, especially as it	Discussion topic for Oct offsite and engage the	
Increasing complexity in weather and	relates to the implementation of models, a more	committee on proposed UCACN tracking of projects.	
environmental prediction systems require	rigorous process for technology transfer and quality	New facility and move on track. Preliminary	
rigorous technology transfer and quality	management is something which must be developed and	conversation with NSF on the VSP, still need overall	
management procedures.	embraced by not only NCEP, but the entire enterprise.	management and selection process for the VSP's and	
 NCEP and partners clearly establish 	We'll work with UCACN on how to best approach this	solidify funding sources. Pilot project discussion with	
1) requirements, 2) Roadmaps, 3)	challenge.	Morgan at NSF second week in Aug.	1 E vec
Detailed implementation plans	NCEP will be transitioning to a new facility beginning in	3) We've engaged on multiple fronts as environmental	1-5 yrs
(schedule, critical path) for entire	Aug 2012. We've met with ESSIC and CICS leadership to	prediction expands beyond typically historical areas.	
chain of innovation (research,	discuss the transition to the UMD campus and engaged	Today, NCEP continues to drive NOS and other	
development, operation, and	Michael Morgan at the NSF to best develop the visiting	partners to be more proactive at developing	
service)	scientist program (VSP) within the NCWCP. NSF and	ecological forecasting capability. Moving initiatives	
- Status of major projects be	NCEP will conduct a joint pilot project supporting visiting	forward will be challenging as we've already	
monitored and documented	scientists at the NCWCP.	encountered cross LO and UCACN engagement will be	
monitor ou una ussamenteu	23.2.1000 00 010 11010 01	2 20 and 20 a	

regularly by transfer management and scientific committee. Participation and contributions of scientists should be formally tracked by UCACN.	3) UCACN has offered and NCEP will use their help in ensuring we continually link effectively and efficiently within NOAA and the external community to manage the complexities associated with environmental prediction.	critical to our success in these areas. Will continually engage with UCACN to work the longer range strategic issues. Paul Sandifer is ecological forecasting focal point identified and leading for NOS. Roadmap document developed and being reviewed by AAs for approval. Joint IOOS and NCEP ocean coastal modeling testbed TOR developed and signed off.
---	---	---